



#### Officers

Norma Grace  
Chair

Merritt Lane  
Vice Chair

Steven W. Usdin  
Secretary

Anne P. Baños  
Treasurer

Blake J. Stanfill  
Assistant Secretary

Christine Albert  
Assistant Treasurer

**Past Chair**  
Ludovico Feoli

**President & CEO**  
Rebecca Mowbray  
Samuel Zemurray Chair in  
Research Leadership

#### Board Members

Ryan Adkerson  
Susan G. Brennan  
Melanie Bronfin  
Andrea Chen  
Vanessa Claiborne  
Ben A. Dupuy  
Louis M. Freeman, Jr.  
Alex Gershanik  
Jessie Haynes  
John Landrum  
Andrew R. Lee  
Gary L. Lorio  
Ronald P. McClain  
Michelle Moore  
Jennifer M. Neil  
Graham Ralston  
Patty Riddlebarger  
Jennifer Roberts  
Jennifer Schnidman  
Lamar Villere  
Charles West  
Jonathan A. Wilson

#### Honorary Board

Harry J. Blumenthal, Jr.  
Edgar L. Chase III  
J. Kelly Duncan  
Hardy B. Fowler  
Louis M. Freeman  
Richard W. Freeman, Jr.  
Ronald J. French  
David Guidry  
Hans B. Jonassen  
Diana M. Lewis  
Mark A. Mayer  
Anne M. Milling  
R. King Milling  
Lynes R. Sloss  
Sterling Scott Willis

BUREAU OF GOVERNMENTAL RESEARCH  
1055 St. Charles Ave., Suite 200  
New Orleans, LA 70130  
504-525-4152 / [www.bgr.org](http://www.bgr.org)

## BGR Media Release

*For Immediate Release – October 17, 2022*

**Contact:** Stephen Stuart, *Vice President and Research Director*  
[ssuart@bgr.org](mailto:ssuart@bgr.org)  
(504) 525-4152, ext. 105

## **BGR Analyzes New Orleans Charter Amendment on the November 8 Ballot**

Today, the Bureau of Governmental Research (BGR) releases a new report, [\*On the Ballot: New Orleans Charter Amendment, November 8, 2022\*](#). The report is intended to help New Orleans voters make an informed decision on whether to require the City Council's approval of mayoral appointments of department heads and allow the council to confirm certain other City administrators.

Currently, the City of New Orleans charter authorizes the mayor to make these appointments without the council's approval or input. The proposed charter amendment would:

- Require City Council confirmation of the mayor's appointments of all 11 department heads established in the charter, including the police and fire superintendents.
- Permit the council to adopt an ordinance requiring its confirmation of mayoral appointments to head any other department, office or unit to which executive powers have been assigned.
- Allow the mayor to temporarily bypass council confirmation by making an interim appointment for up to 120 days.

Proponents say the charter change is necessary to provide an additional layer of scrutiny to help ensure top administrators are well qualified and ready for the job. Opponents say the change would usurp a key mayoral power and could lead to gridlock and political dealmaking between the mayor and council. If approved, the amendment would take effect January 1, 2023. It would apply only to appointments made on or after that date.

Click here to read the [full report](#) or the [InBrief summary](#).

### **Report Highlights:**

*A Widely Used Practice.* A BGR analysis found that city council confirmation of department heads is a widely used practice among 25 peer

cities. In 20 of the cities, or 80%, the council confirms some or all department heads. The councils in the other five cities (20%) do not confirm department heads, as is the current situation in New Orleans. This shows that council confirmation is a well-established and tested practice at the local level.

*Greater Transparency and Accountability.* The current appointment process in New Orleans gives the mayor full discretion to make appointments with no external checks to help ensure the appointees are well qualified. Council confirmation would add another layer of transparency and accountability for mayoral appointments. The council plans to hold public hearings to vet appointees and learn how they would lead their departments, if confirmed. Such a public review could make it more likely that candidates with potential conflicts of interest or poor performance in previous positions would be identified prior to appointment and removed from consideration. Moreover, a public vetting process would provide the mayor with an incentive to thoroughly scrutinize candidates and not put forward any who are unqualified.

*Balance of Power.* While some have expressed concern about the amendment diminishing the mayor's executive powers, the mayor would still oversee all departments and could remove unclassified administrative appointees at any time. Also, the council would be limited to approving or rejecting the mayor's appointees and could not install its own picks.

*Potential for Gridlock and Political Dealmaking.* Opponents of the charter amendment have raised concerns about the potential for gridlock through repeated rejections of mayoral appointees. To address this, the council added the provision authorizing the mayor to make interim appointments without council confirmation. There are ambiguities in the interim appointment process that could result in litigation. However, the council can seek to clarify the gray areas if voters approve the charter amendment.

There is also a risk that the confirmation process could be undermined by political dealmaking between the mayor and council in which the appointee's merits are not the sole consideration. The council could reduce this risk by establishing a clear process and criteria for evaluating appointees, but it has yet to do so.

*Extending Confirmation to More Positions.* Voter approval would allow the council to adopt an ordinance extending its confirmation powers to certain administrative positions, including the heads of 13 special mayoral offices. Citing a proliferation of these offices – mayors have added nine since 2010 – BGR has recommended that the City review the offices for duplication of executive functions and other inefficiencies. Public hearings on the appointees to head some of these offices could help both the council and the public assess the scope and necessity of the offices.

Based on this analysis, BGR takes the following position on the proposition:

**FOR.** The charter amendment would provide significant transparency benefits to help make all mayoral administrations more open and responsive to the public. Requiring the City Council's confirmation of mayoral appointments of department heads would set a clear expectation for public accountability before administrators take office. The confirmation process also would give appointees an opportunity to explain to the council and citizens how they would apply their

experience and expertise to improve the department and address any problems it faces. Such a process would provide an additional check to help ensure the City's top administrators are well qualified and capable. These benefits have made council confirmation of department heads a widespread practice among large cities with mayor-council forms of government, like New Orleans. Extending the option of council confirmation to other top administrative positions would provide another check on the broad mayoral authority to create special offices and bestow executive powers on them. However, the council should use its confirmation authority judiciously to avoid overburdening the executive branch.

BGR's support of the charter amendment is not a criticism of the current administration, which would face a more limited impact from council confirmation than subsequent administrations. Rather, BGR finds that the charter amendment would improve the selection process by increasing transparency and accountability for all administrations. Fully realizing these benefits will hinge on how the council addresses gaps and ambiguities in the proposal. The lack of details on the confirmation process increases the potential for political dealmaking. This could undermine the integrity of the vetting process by shifting the focus away from appointees' qualifications. To reduce this risk, the City Council should establish a clear process and criteria for evaluating prospective appointees. In addition, the council should clarify the provision allowing the mayor to make interim appointments to avoid potential litigation and gridlock. In establishing the confirmation process, the council should engage with the mayor. Such collaboration would help ensure that the charter amendment serves as a check and balance, rather than a political tool.

###

*BGR is a private, nonprofit, independent research organization. Since its founding in 1932, it has been dedicated to informed public policy-making and the effective use of public resources in the Greater New Orleans area. For more information, call (504) 525-4152 or visit BGR's website, [www.bgr.org](http://www.bgr.org).*